



Attorney Docket No. 27263.00

: 2621

ART UNIT

Customer No. 37833

Confirmation No. 2477

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE PATENT APPLICATION OF:

APPLICANT: ADRIAN G. CORNEJO

APPL. NO. : 10/536,893

FILED : MAY 27, 2005 EXAMINER : SHAWN S. AN

FOR : DEVICE FOR PRODUCING THREE-DIMENSIONAL-PERCEIVED

IMAGES ON A MONITOR WITH MULTIPLE

LIQUID CRYSTAL SCREENS

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In the Office Action dated May 7, 2010, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 prior to an examination on the merits of the above-identified application. The separate inventions identified by the Examiner are as follows:

- I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a type of monitor for generating three-dimensional video images using a block of overlapped color screens.
- II. Claims 3-7, drawn to a solid graphic display device for generating stereoscopic three-dimensional images on a single block of overlapped LCD color screens.

Application No. : 10/536,893 Attorney Docket No. 27263.00

Art Unit: 2621 Confirmation No. 2477

The Examiner seemingly concedes that the inventions as grouped are related electronic

devices, but asserts that they are distinct because they are not capable of use together, do not

overlap in scope and are not obvious variants.

In compliance with the Examiner's requirement, Applicant provisionally elects with

traverse for further prosecution the invention defined by Claims 3-7 (designated as invention

II).

Notwithstanding the propriety of the restriction/election requirement for examination

purposes, Applicant contends that he should be entitled to a consideration of a reasonable

number related embodiments falling within the scope of a generic inventive concept. Moreover,

it would appear that a search and examination of both inventions could be accomplished

without a serious burden on the Examiner since the multiple embodiments identified of record

would seemingly encompass a common field of search.

For the above reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the

restriction and election requirements and issue an action on the merits of all the claimed

embodiments presently in the application. Alternatively, should the Examiner maintain the

requirement, Applicant awaits a complete action on the merits of the elected subject matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Dolph H. Torrence

Registration No. 34,501

(703) 486-1000

2